		SOLA-C1: 90% Comments from DSD Formal Site Plan Review	CPO Action	
Received:	5/13/2020		City PM Action	
Submitted:	· · · ·		Consultant Action	
			No Action	
Comment ID	Comment ID		Review Notes from	
	(Discipline)	Comment	СРО	Responses
Drainage Engin	eering Review	- Kena Pierce - 512-974-7273		
	DEO	Release of this application does not constitute a verification of all data, information, and calculations supplied by the applicant. The engineer of	No action needed	No Action Needed
		record is solely responsible for the completeness, accuracy, and adequacy of his/her submittal, whether or not the application is reviewed for		
		code compliance by city engineers.		
1	DE1	Please submit electronic copy of stormCAD models so they can be reviewed by Watershed Protection Department. They can be sent to	Designer to address	StormCAD Models submitted of
		Kena.pierce@austintexas.gov	Ŭ	
2	DE2	DE 2. Pending Watershed's acceptance of storm sewer modeling and calculations.	PM Pending DE1	Under Review by Watershed S
			resolution.	
3	DE3	Per DCM 5.7.1.1 "New street trees placed within the right-of-way shall have a horizontal clearance of 5 feet from the edge of the tree well to	CPO - Pepper, MAS	Proposed street trees maintain
		the outer edge of the storm drain, manhole, inlet, or other appurtenance.". Clearly demonstrate this in the landscaping sheets by calling out	New requirement,	The distance between propose
		the distance between trees and curb inlets. The DCM criteria updates can be accessed at this site	what is effective	Improvement Plan Sheet(s) L1
		http://www.austintexas.gov/edims/document.cfm?id=333087	date? Do we revise	minimum offsets from inlets p
		They are not yet incorporated into Municode. Also note that benches and bike racks have inlet distance requirement in TCM 4.2.2.	and comply?	
Water Quality F	Review – Kena	Pierce – 512-974-7273	•	
0	WQ0	Release of this application does not constitute a verification of all data, information, and calculations supplied by the applicant. The engineer of	No action needed	No Action Needed
		record is solely responsible for the completeness, accuracy, and adequacy of his/her submittal, whether or not the application is reviewed for		
		code compliance by city engineers.		
4	WQ1	The site does not meet Type 1 requirements for Fee-in-Lieu per ECM 1.6.4.B.1 but rather the Type II requirements which require on-site water	CPO - Harvey w/PM	Final Water Quality plan under
		quality controls. Please submit a water quality control plan for new & redeveloped impervious cover per LDC 25-8-211. Please see LDC 25-8-64		required.
		for more information on different types of improvements that are included and excluded from impervious cover calculations.		
5	WQ2	Please provide a separate sheet showing the different types of impervious cover and their quantities for the site in order for the reviewer to	PM verify and assign	Updated Impervious Cover Sur
		verify the new and redeveloped impervious cover locations and amounts. See ECM 1.9.0 for definitions of the different types of impervious	to designer	
		cover.		
		Note: Please e-mail your reviewer if you have any questions, concerns, or require additional information about these comments. Please also e	No action needed.	No Action Needed
		mail your reviewer if you would like to schedule a phone or virtual meeting to discuss the review comments. Updates cannot be reviewed		
		outside of the Plan Review cycle. If you have questions about DSD's response to COVID-19 please go to https://www.austintexas.gov/page/dsd-		
		covid-19.		
		th Mars – 512-974-2755	1	
	CA1	Add tree numbers to all trees on all plan sheets.	-	Tree numbers added to sheets
7	CA2	Add tree protection fencing to all trees within the LOC and extend fencing to the full critical root zone where possible.	Designer to address.	Tree protection is provided pe
				5/19/20 call and no further ac
8	CA3	Page 34 of 249 shows multiple site improvements in the ½ and ¼ critical root zone of regulated trees. Please contact this reviewer to schedule	Designer to address.	Design in this area was revised
		a meeting. Keith.Mars@austintexas.gov		Work in the 1/2 CRZ will be lim
9	CA4	CA4: Show trees on the removal/demolition plan sheets. Also show tree protection.	Designer to address.	Existing Tree Survey will be sho
				sheets - where the tree protect
10	CA5	CA5: Remove notes on landscape sheets stating "proposed trees are for any required mitigation". This project is subject to street tree	Designer to address.	Removed note from sheet(s) for
	<b></b>	requirements separate from tree preservation and mitigation requirements.		
11	CA6	CA6: Thank you for the street tree details and notes. Please contact Taylor Horton and Naomi Rotramel to discuss species diversity, planting	City PM/CPO	Contacted Taylor.Horton@aus
		details, etc. to ensure continuity between the City Arborist and the Corridor Program on some of our joint work to improve street tree	Coordination	Lisa.Killander@austintexas.gov
Flaged Plain Par	in a la ser De	plantings. Taylor.Horton@austintexas.gov; Naomi.Rotramel@austintexas.gov		
		cker – 512-974-2382	Designer to address	Cut/Fill proper reviewed as date
12	FP1		-	Cut/Fill areas reviewed and th
		development activities do not cause adverse floodplain impacts per LDC 25-7-61 including the following tasks:	provide revised	
		Show that the floodplain storage volume is conserved.	driveway profiles	
		The applicant should quantify the loss of floodplain storage volume resulting from the placement of fill within the floodplain and compensate	and show floodplain	
		for this loss with a compensatory cut or other method. Be aware that "fill" includes proposed buildings and piers which would diminish	not impacted	
		floodplain storage volume.		

d on 6/19/2020 with Draft Drainage Plans.

d Scott Avery, EIT

ain a minimum 5' clearance from all Austin Water infrastructure. osed street trees and inlets are dimensioned on the Site L1.1-L1.9. In addition, bike racks have been adjusted to meet s per the TCM.

der design. Refer to R-Table in report for Water Quality volume

Summary included with Project Overview.

ets. Some sheets will show tree numbers scaled back. per COA Standard. Comment was discussed with arborist on

action required.

ed post 90% to remove any work from the 1/4 critical root zone. limited to +/- 4-inches.

shown on the Demo Sheets and Note to reference the SWPPP ection is detailed.

) for submittal

ustintexas.gov; Naomi.Rotramel@austintexas.gov & gov

there is a net cut of approx. 100 Cu. Yds in the floodplain

Environm	ental Review - P	Pamela Abee-Taulli - 512-974-1879	-	
13	EV1	EV 1 Watershed Boundary Diversion of stormwater from one watershed to another is limited to the lesser of the following: either 20% of the gross site area or 1 acre. The diversion must maintain existing drainage patterns to the extent feasible. Demonstrate compliance with this requirement by providing a table showing existing and proposed drainage areas for the two watersheds [LDC 25-8-365]	show watershed boundary on plans, on drainage area maps.	maintaining existing drainage
14	EV2	EV 2 Demolition Add a note to the ESC plan stating: "A preconstruction meeting with the Environmental Inspector is required prior to any site disturbance."	Designer to address.	Note added to ESC Sheets.
15	EV3	EV 3 Classified Waterways / CWQZ / WQTZ / Floodplain Clearly show and label the CWQZ. [LDC 25-8-92]	Designer to address.	Elements Labeled.
16	EV4	EV 4 ESC Requirements [LDC 25-7-61,65, 25-8-181,182,183,184] Add a note to the ESC sheets, "Inlet protection required for all inlets within LOC."	-	Note added to ESC Sheets.
17	EV5	EV 5 I am concerned about the mulch sock proposed for curb inlet protection. Since there is no grate at the curb inlets, mulch sock is prone to falling in. Please proposed a different type of control for the curb inlets and provide detail.	Designer to address.	Curb inlet protection with wire
18	EV6	EV 6 Clarify whether turn lanes will be in use on Lamar during this work. For example, mulch sock is shown crossing the intersection of Lamar and Butler. If this intersection (Butler, crossing Lamar) will be open to traffic, please show a break in the mulch sock.		To keep a reasonable number turn lanes and pedestrian cros contractor to adjust barriers a
20	EV7	EV 7 Clarify what work is proposed in the area of the LOC outlined in blue. Reduce the LOC to only the necessary area to accommodate proposed work. Also, in the area circled in red, it appears that the LOC does not encompass all of the proposed work. ((Blue is noted at the north end where slope down to lake beginsunder bridge))	Designer to address.	LOC was shown to include all a markings only. The LOC was reproposed work.
21	EV8	EV 8 If a concrete washout will be necessary, designate a location for on the ESC sheet.	Designer to address.	Contractor is responsible for c coordinated with the City insp be located offsite.
22	EV9	<ul> <li>EV 9 Add a note to all ESC sheets stating:</li> <li>A. "If disturbed area is not to be worked on for more than 14 days, disturbed area needs to be stabilized by revegetation, mulch, tarp or revegetation matting. [ECM 1.4.4.B.3, Section 5, I.]</li> <li>B. Environmental Inspector has the authority to add and/or modify erosion/sedimentation controls on site to keep project in-compliance with the City of Austin Rules and Regulations. [LDC 25-8-183]</li> <li>C. Contractor shall utilize dust control measures during site construction such as irrigation trucks and mulching as per ECM 1.4.5(A), or as directed by the Environmental Inspector.</li> <li>D. The contractor will clean up spoils that migrate onto the roads a minimum of once daily." [ECM 1.4.4.D.4]</li> </ul>		Note added to ESC Sheets.
23	EV10	EV 10 Show the entire LOC in the ESC plans. The LOC along Barton Springs Rd. appears to continue off the page to the west and east.	Designer to address.	Complete LOC is shown on the control, pavement marking, et for the areas of proposed wor
24	EV11	EV 11 Add the following details to the plan set: A. CURB INLET CONTROL B. CONCRETE WASHOUT [LDC 25-8-181, LDC 25-8-604, ECM 3.5.2, ECM 1.4.1.1(C)]		Curb inlet protection and cond
25	EV12	Fees and ESC Fiscal Surety [LDC 25-1-82, 25-7-65, 25-8-234] Provide payment of the site plan environmental inspection fee prior to permit/site plan approval. Obtain the invoice at COA Intake, or by calling 512-974-1770. Payment of the fee may be made at the first floor Cashier's Window. This comment will clear by providing a receipt of payment to the Environmental Reviewer.	CPO - Harvey	Pending Clarification
26	EV13	Send a fiscal estimate for erosion/sedimentation controls and revegetation based on ECM Appendix S-1 to For sites with a limit of construction greater than one acre, the fiscal estimate must include a \$3000 per acre of LOC clean-up fee. The approved amount must be posted with the City prior to permit/site plan approval. [LDC 25-8-186, ECM 1.2.1, ECM Appendix S-1]	CPO - Hamilton - City projects do not post fiscal. Reply "N/A for City projects"	N/A for City projects
Electric Re		Katz - 512-322-6957		
27	EL 1.	Overhead electric line across S Lamar at Barton Springs Rd to be raised. Coordinate with John Biehn at John.Biehn@austinenergy.com to determine pole type and placement and show on updated plan set. Currently, down guy wires conflict with proposed bike rack clearance.	CPO - Pepper	Coordination in progress
28	EL 2.	Streetlighting to be coordinated with AE. Please reach out to me to discuss.	CPO - Pepper	Coordination in progress

de line will be added to the drainage area maps. The project is ge patterns.

wire and fabric has been proposed.

per of sheets the overall TCP for a phase is shown. Opened/Closed crossings are detailed in TCP notes and direction given to rs and mulch sock as necessary.

all areas of work including M&O areas or areas of new pavement s revised to match the latest roadway file and include all areas of

or designating a washout area as needed. This area should be nspector during construction. Any washout area needed will likely

the Project Overview sheets. Specific discipline sheets (i.e. erosion , etc.) may or may not include the full LOC but will show the LOC vork for that specific sheet.

oncrete washout details have been added.

29	EL 3.	Recommendations from AE Line Clearance: Please replace Cedar Elm trees around future street light poles with less tall species. AE does not	CPO - Pepper, MAS	Lighting Layout and Proposed
29	LL 0.	currently maintain street trees for illumination and over a period of time, the Cedar Elms will become too large and make light maintenance	cro-repper, MAS	recommends leaving tree spin
		difficult, as well as blocking light shining on the road, sidewalk, etc.		
		Pg. 156 3 CE around street light pole structure		
		Pg. 157 7 CE around street light pole structure		
		Pg. 158 8 CE around street light pole structure.		
		Pg. 159 7 CE around street light pole structure.		
		Pg. 161 1 CE around street light pole structure.		
Site Plan I		anctis - 512-974-3102	-	
30	SP1	SP1. Provide a "Project Description" as a response in the next submittal, noting the type of development, total site size (acres and	Designer to address	Project Description Provided
		linear feet), and proposed impervious cover. Note that this project description will appear on the site development permit for this case.		
31	SP2	Obtain all required signatures on the cover sheet prior to site plan approval.	PM to monitor	Noted - Pending Approvals
32	SP3	Have you shown all existing and future dedicated easements, including joint access, drainage, conservation, utility, communication,	No proposed	No proposed easements. Con
		etc.? Indicate volume and page or document number, or dedication by plat. All buildings, fences, landscaping, patios, flatwork and	easements.	
		other uses or obstructions of a drainage easement are prohibited, unless expressly permitted by a license agreement approved by	Construction is	
		the City of Austin authorizing use of the easement. Please indicate if there are any easements proposed with this development.	within ROW; no	
			easements within	
			ROW. Hamilton will	
			discuss with case	
			manager.	
33	SP4	Number each sheet submitted and indicate the total number of sheets on each sheet (e.g. 2 of 4). The total number of sheets is		All Sheets updated with Final
~ ~	0.05	missing from sheet 1. The total number is illegible on sheet 13, 16, 18, 226		
34	SP5	There are "alternate" sheets provided after sheet 249. Sheet numbers must be consecutive whole numbers with no letter or decimal	Designer to address	Alternate sheets may not be a
		suffixes such as A, B, C or .1, .2, .3. Please remove alternate sheets.		at Final Submittal. If adopted
				Sheet Index. Recommend kee
35	SP6	Sheets 21, 40-43, 45, 49-51, 78, 181-183, 196-198, 237 are blank. Please remove the blank sheets and ensure the index is	CPO Revised	CPO requested blank sheets b
		corrected to reflect.	Comment: Provide	consistency between sheet #
			the sheets with the	
			update rather than	
			deleting them.	
36	SP7		No response needed.	Final Submittal to include add
		let the Case Manager know so that reviewers can treat it as a U0 review.		submitted at 90%.
37	SP8	Show the project title (AIM South Lamar C1 Riverside Dr to Barton Springs Road) on each sheet of the site plan.	Designer to address	
38	SP9	Show a north arrow on each sheet of site plan. It is missing from sheets 31. Please check for others.	Designer to address	North Arrow to be included
39	SP10	Addressing has assigned the following address to the project: 204 ½ S Lamar. Show correct street address on each sheet.	CPO - Hamilton	No Action by Consultant Tear
40	SP11	Show the submittal date on the cover sheet as February 25, 2020.	-	Date on cover sheet to reflect
41	SP12	Provide the 3 1/2" x 5" approval form in the lower right hand corner on the cover sheet only.	CPO - Hamilton	CPO direction was to provide
42	SP13	Please indicate the case number (SP-2020-0084D) in the lower right margin of each sheet.	-	To be updated.
43	SP14	Show any amenities, walls, fences, sidewalks, swimming pools and all other land improvements on each site plan sheet.	CPO - Hamilton	No Action by Consultant Tear
44	SP15	Show the location of all existing and proposed utility facilities on the site and adjacent right-of-way, including the exact locations and	Designer to address	Utilities shown on applicable
		types of all utility lines, underground and overhead.		
45	SP16	Add the following note to the coversheet: "Approval of these plans by the City of Austin indicates compliance with applicable City	Designer to address	Note to be added to cover sh
		regulations only. Approval by other governmental entities may be required prior to the start of construction. The applicant is		
		responsible for determining what additional approvals may be necessary."		
46	SP17	Note all adjoining land uses, and show all existing buildings on adjoining lots within 50 feet. If no buildings exist within 50 feet on	CPO - Hamilton	No Action by Consultant Tear
		adjoining lots, note this on the site plan sheet.		

sed Tree Layout have been revised since 90% submittal. CPO spieces and placement as-is in the final submittal.

ed in the Engineering Report

Construction is within ROW, no easements within ROW

al Submittal

be adopted and should not be included with the total sheet count ed during bid process they will be added or removed from the keeping them as a separate sheet count for consistency.

ets be included to provide an accurate count of total sheets and et #s and PDF page #s.

additional detail but no significant design changes to the plans

eam

lect date of Final Submittal

de this on every sheet - Will be removed for resubmittal

eam

le plan sheets.

sheet.

eam

47	SP18	Show the limits of construction on the site plan sheet. Include the area necessary for the construction of access drives and all off-	CPO -revised	LOC to be added to the Project Ov
		site utility work. Limits of Construction must enclose a single contiguous area. There may only be one LOC on any site plan. Please	comment - Show on	sheets showing work to be comple
		show the entire LOC on one sheet and use whatever scale necessary.	"site plan overall	
			sheet". Notes	
			required in comment	
			SP 19 will also go on	
			this sheet. Designer	
			to address	
48	SP19	Show the following site plan release notes on the site plan:	Designer to address	Notes will be added to the project
	SP19A	All improvements shall be made in accordance with the released site plan. Any additional improvements will require site plan	Designer to address	
		amendment and approval of the Development Services Department.		
	SP19B	Approval of this Site Plan does not include Building and Fire Code approval nor building permit approval	Designer to address	
	SP19C	All signs must comply with requirements of the Land Development Code (Chapter 25-10).	Designer to address	
	SP19D	Additional electric easements may be required at a later date.	Designer to address	
	SP19E	Water and wastewater service will be provided by the City of Austin.	Designer to address	
	SP19F	All existing structures shown to be removed will require a demolition permit from the City of Austin Development Services Dept.	Designer to address	
	SP19G	A development permit must be issued prior to an application for building permit for non-consolidated or Planning Commission approved site plans.	Designer to address	
	SP19H	For driveway construction: The owner is responsible for all costs for relocation of, or damage to utilities.	Designer to address	
	SP19I	For construction within the right-of-way, a ROW excavation permit is required	Designer to address	
49	FYI	FYI: Overlapping site plan case: SP-04-1150D		Noted
	FYI	FYI: This project is in both the Butler Shores & Auditorium Shores Waterfront Overlay		Noted
	FYI	FYI: This project is in the Scenic Roadways Overlay		Noted
	FYI	FYI: Parts of this project are in the floodplain		Noted
	FYI	FYI: Parts of this project are in the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Verification Zone		Noted
	FYI	FYI: This project is partially in the Bouldin Creek Neighborhood Planning Area		Noted
	FYI	FYI: A Capitol View Corridor intersects some of this project.		Noted
	FYI	FYI This project is within the Residential Design Standards area		Noted
	FYI	FYI: The Lamar Boulevard Bridge is a City of Austin Historic Landmark and is on the National Register	CPO - Schroeder	Noted, TxDOT coordinatnion for co coordinatnio. No action work on b
Site Plan Plu	mbing - Cory Ha	rmon - 512-974-2882		
50		There were no plans for update 0 uploaded to AMANDA. Provide a set of plans.	Disregard comment.	Noted
			Plans were provided.	
R.O.W. Revie	ew - Isaiah Lewa	llen - 512-974-1479		
51	ROW 1	Approval pending revisions. Please provide update to Utility Coordination case.	CPO - Pepper	No Action by Consultant Team
Traffic Contr	ol Review - Shav	wn Jackson - 512-974-7832		
52	TTCP 1		PM	Note provided on TCP Sheet
		"The Loren" work site and place note that coordination with the Loren construction shall occur. I suggest a field visit be conducted		
		to verify current conditions. Any questions please feel free to contact me via email at shawn.jackson@austintexas.gov		
¥	ring Review - A	mber Mitchell - 512-974-3428		
53		No ATD review required; CPO Bond Projects have already gone through rigorous review and approval process by all the ATD Divisions.	No action needed	No Action by Consultant Team
-		view - Thomas Rowlinson - 512-974-9372		
54	PR 1	Label the adjacent City parkland on all applicable sheet as follows: City of Austin (Parkland)	Designer to address	Add Labels on ROW
55	PR 2		Designer to address	To be provided.
		to parkland do not appear to have park boundaries/right-of-way extents, such as sheets 13, 17, 37, 76, 81, and other sheets		
		associated with the intersection of W Riverside Drive and South Lamar Boulevard. Project work on parkland, including staging,		
		cannot be approved except under the terms of the City Charter and Chapter 26 of the Texas Parks and Wildlife Code.		

roject Overview Sheet. The same LOC will be shown on all project
e completed.
e project overview sheet or cover sheet
ion for cooridor underway includes historicaly structure
vork on brdige except traffic control
-
Team
eet
Team